# The Challenge of transnational action on injunctions and collective redress

- I. Introduction Real problems for parties and courts
- II. EU Green Paper 2008 on Consumer Collective Redress
- **III.** Connected EU Directives on Consumer Redress
- IV. Importance of a better approach for European Law
- V. Swiss approach to national and transnational consumer redress
- VI. Proposal for Continental Civil Procedure Law



### I. Introduction - Real problems for parties and courts



### II. EU Green Paper 2008 on Consumer Collective Redress

- II.1 Purpose of the Green Paper COM(2008) 794
- II.2 Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee 2009 (2010/C 128/18)
- II.3 Scope of Consumer Law (e.g. unfair commercial practices, unfair terms, etc)



The **purpose** 

Analyzing cross border problems between claims of a large group of consumers against a single trader

<u>Discussion</u> of two collective redress mechanisms: opt-in collective action (**group action**), and **representative action** 

Positive effects to collective redress:

Reducing high costs of civil procedures

Bypassing strict formalities of civil procedures



Negative effects of normal civil procedures to collective redress:

insufficient **funding** and risk of high litigation fees lack of **expertise** of consumer organizations strict **formalities** regarding admissibility **complexity** of collective redress **lengthy proceedings** because of complexity **delay** of proceedings **Voluntariness** of ADR-Mechanisms **Differences** between **group** and **particular** claim



### Four options

Option 1 – No EC action

**Option 2 – Cooperation between Member States** 

Option 3 – Mix of policy instruments

Option 4 – Judicial collective redress procedure



**Q1:** What are your views on the role of the EU in relation to consumer collective redress?

**Q2:** Which of the four options set out above do you prefer? Is there an option which you would reject?

**Q3:** Are there specific elements of the options with which you agree of disagree?

**Q4:** Are there other elements which should form part of your preferred option?

**Q5:** In case you prefer a combination of options, which options would you want to combine and what would be its features?



# II.2 Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee 2009 (2010/C 128/18)

Access to **effective judicial protection** is a fundamental right of consumers

Encourage businesses to develop **internal complaint handling system** 

less formal and less costly procedure

ADR-systems ought to become binding legislative tools

Prompt, fair, efficient and relatively low cost resolution



II.3 Scope of Consumer Law (e.g. unfair commercial practices, unfair terms, etc)

Unfair business-to-consumer commercial practices

Sale of consumer goods and associated guarantees

Product liability and safety of goods

**Distance contracts** 

Distance marketing of consumer financial services

Consumer credit



#### III. Connected EU Directives on Consumer Redress

- III.1 Directive 2009/22/EC on injunctions
- III.2 Recommendation 2001/310/EC on the principles for out-of-court bodies
- III.3 Directive 2008/52/EC on aspects of mediation in civil and commercial matters

### III.1 Directive 2009/22/EC on injunctions

Approach: **NOT** by private international law (**conflict of law**), because of complexity or impossibility of **recognition of <u>different</u> bodies** in national civil procedure law (ombudsman, OFT, consumer organizations)

Approach of the Directive on injunctions: **Recognition of <u>actions</u>** (to protect collective interests of consumers) brought in by

Ombudsman and similar state institutions

**OFT** - Office of Fair Trading (London)

**Consumer Organizations** 



### III.1 Directive 2009/22/EC on injunctions

### **Actions for an injunction (art 2)**

**Cessation** or prohibition of any infringement

Publication of the decision

Payments into the public purse (in the event of failure to comply with the decision in so far as the legal system permits)

### **Provisions for wider action (art 7)**

Possibility of actions with "more extensive rights" (claims for sums of money? → class action at national level?)



# III.2 Recommendation 2001/310/EC on the principles for out-of-court bodies

Recommendation applies to third party bodies responsible for out-of-court consumer dispute resolution procedures

Principles of out-of-court consumer dispute resolution

**Impartiality** 

Transparency

Effectiveness

**Fairness** 



### III.3 Directive 2008/52/EC on aspects of mediation in civil and commercial matters

**Objective** of maintaining and developing an area of **freedom**, **security and justice** 

→ Consequence of this objective:

Judicial cooperation in civil matters that are necessary for the proper functioning of the internal market

Fundamental: Principle of access to justice



### III.3 Directive 2008/52/EC on aspects of mediation in civil and commercial matters

Because of **complex**, **lengthy and costly** judicial proceedings  $\rightarrow$  Mediation can provide **cost-effective and quick** extrajudicial resolution (as part of ADR - Alternative Dispute Resolution)

Relation between mediation and judicial proceeding
No disadvantages to parties who choose mediation
No prevention from initiating judicial proceedings or arbitration
No limitation or prescription during meditation
Settlement agreement transnational enforceable



### IV. Importance of a better approach for European Law

- IV.1 Advantage of US class action (possibility of claims for sums of money)
- IV.2 Negative effects of US class action
- IV.3 US class action and the search for a better European approach

### IV.1 Advantage of US class action (possibility of claims for sums of money)

US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure - Rule 23 a)

One or more **members of a class may sue** or be sued as representative parties **on behalf of all** only if

- (1) the class is **so numerous that** joinder of all members is impracticable,
- (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class,
- (3) **the claims** or defenses of the representative parties **are typical** of the claims or defenses of the class, and
- (4) the representative parties will **fairly and adequately protect** the interests of the class.



IV.1 Advantage of US class action (possibility of claims for sums of money)

US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure - Rule 23 b)

(in addition to Rule 23 a) ...

(3) the court finds that the **questions of law or fact** common to the members **of the class predominate** over any questions affecting only individual members, **and** that a **class action** is **superior** to **other available methods** for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure - Rule 23 d)

Court must approve any settlement or compromise of the claims



### IV.2 Negative effects of US class action

**Background** of US class action:

Strict adversarial culture of US legal system

US Federal Rules of Civil Procedure - Rule 23 c)

For any class certified under Rule 23(b)(3), the **court must direct to class members** the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through **reasonable effort**.

### **Opt-Out-System**

Court will exclude from the class **any member** who **requests exclusion** (23 c-2)



### IV.2 Negative effects of US class action

#### **Conclusions:**

Regarding Continental Civil Procedural Law, the US Class Action System is in conflict with

procedural right and freedom of choice to sue or not to sue procedural right to be heard, and too often combined with problematic topic of 'punitive damages'

On the other hand: persons profit without any contribution and effort to the case



# IV.3 US class action and the search for a better European approach

**Avoiding the negative effects** of US class action regarding European continental procedural law - possible topics

Recognition of action brought by an association Recognition of cumulated actions with a clear opt-in-rule

Fast, simple and low-cost proceedings at the courts Evaluation / combination of judicial and extra-judicial framework (search for a European 'best practice')



# V. Swiss approach to national and transnational consumer redress

- V.1 Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (Swiss CCP) 2008 (in force 1th Jan 2011)
- V.2 Action brought by an association (Swiss CCP 89)
- V.3 Several parties and cumulated actions (Swiss CCP 93)
- V.4 Mediation within litigation (Swiss CCP 214-218) mediation and settlements
- V.5 Conciliation within litigation (Swiss CCP 226 and 241) hearings an settlements



# V.1 Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (Swiss CCP) 2008 (in force 1th Jan 2011)

Why reference to new Swiss procedural law?

**Background** of Swiss law in general:

Long tradition of a **very cooperative culture** in the Swiss judicial and extra-judicial system

**Continuation** of this cooperative legal culture in the new Swiss Code of Civil Procedure, in force 1th Jan 2011.

### V.2 Action brought by an association (Swiss CCP 89)

Section 1 (legitimation)

Associations and other **organisations** of Swiss **national or regional importance** that are by virtue of their statutes authorised to safeguard the **interests of particular groups** can bring an action in their own name

Section 2 (possible actions)

**Prohibition** of a violation

**Cessation** of a violation

**Declaration** of illegality of a violation of rights



### V.2 Action brought by an association (Swiss CCP 89)

Section 3 (reservation)

**Specific statutory provisions** governing actions brought by associations remain reserved.

Important for transnational consumer redress:

Swiss Code of unfair competition (UWG 10):

Action of associations of **suppliers** (Article 10 lit a)

Action of associations of **consumers** (Article 10 lit b)

Action of Swiss government (Article 10 lit c; restricted action)



### V.2 Action brought by an association (Swiss CCP 89)

Important for transnational consumer redress:

Swiss Code of unfair competition (UWG 9 sect. 1):

**Prohibition** of a violation (Article 9 lit. a)

**Cessation** of a violation (Article 9 lit. b)

**Declaration** of illegality of a violation (Article 9 lit. c)

Publication of the judgment (Article 9 sect. 2)

But no class action (claim for sums of money) for associations; Possible only single members of particular groups (Art 9 sect 3) see V.3 →



### V.3 Several parties and cumulated actions (Swiss CCP 93)

Addition of the raised claims in cases of simple joinder and cumulated actions (Swiss CCP 93)

### Simple joinder (Swiss CCP 71)

Section 1

Where the rights and duties which are to be determined are based on similar facts or legal grounds, several persons may sue or be sued together.

The parties may appoint a joint representative (Swiss CCP 72)



V.4 Mediation within litigation (Swiss CCP 214-218) mediation and settlements

Court recommendation of mediation at any time (CCP 214)

Application of parties for mediation at any time (CCP 214)

Organisation (incl. costs) of mediation **by parties** (CCP 215) **Confidentiality** of mediation (CCP 216)

Formal ratification (and transnational recognition!) of any settlement agreement reached by means of mediation (CCP 217)

# V.5 Conciliation within litigation (Swiss CCP 226 and 241) hearings and settlements

#### **Court decision:**

preparatory hearings at any time (CCP 226) free discussion of the matter in dispute (CCP 226)

Results of conciliation by the court:

Res iudicata effect of settlements in court conciliation

### VI. Proposal for Continental Civil Procedure Law

VI.1 Litigation

VI.2 Mediation

VI.3 Mixed System



## **Negotiation** parties and attorneys

### **Litigation**Courts and arbitration

# Mediation parties and mediators







Negotiation parties and attorneys	Litigation Courts and Arbitration	Mediation parties and mediatiors
Voluntary	Not voluntary	Usually voluntary
Contract enforceable	Binding judgment subject to appeal	Settlement agreement enforceable
Parties in direct contact no neutral person involved	Imposed decision Court's discretion	Mediator selected (parties) neutral position
Informal	Formal and rigid rules	Informal
Freedom to present evidence mostly focused on past	Rigid rules of evidence focused on past	Freedom to present evidence focused on future
Mutually acceptable agreement sought	Imposed decision supported by reasoned opinion	Mutually acceptable agreement sought
Parties or representatives	Parties may attend, but participate as subjects	Parties fully engaged in the process



### VI.1 Litigation

Positive effect of litigation:

Binding judgments solving parties disputes with transnational recognition and enforceability

Negative effect of litigation:

Lengthy, complex and costly proceedings (years not months!)

Request for litigation:

Fast, simple and low-cost proceedings (months not years!)



#### VI.2 Mediation

Positive effect of mediation:

Parties fully engaged in the process with

mutually accepted settlement agreement

Negative effect of mediation:

Solutions depending on goodwill of the parties (one party)

Request for mediation:

More binding character of the proceeding

→ conciliation within litigation (see VI.3)



### VI.3 Mixed System (for transnational collective redress)

Large number	Litigation	Conciliation
of parties	at court	within litigation
Simple joinder	task of court	task of court
(claims for sums of money) similar facts or legal grounds	decision of the principles of facts and legal grounds (judges and expert judges)	application of the principles of mediation to court conciliation (judges and expert judges)
opt-in system clear identified persons for claims	general decision regarding general facts and application of law (practice of Swiss	individual settlement agreement by means of conciliation (practice of Swiss
	Commercial Courts)	Commercial Courts)



### VI.3 Mixed System (for transnational collective redress)

Commercial Court	Expert Judges	Professional judges
Measurement of facts	Knowledge of expert judges within the Court	
Measurement of law		Knowledge of professional judges within the Court

### VI.3 Mixed System (for transnational collective redress)

Commercial Court	proceedings, which are normally fast, simple and costly for the parties, because of:	
Measurement of facts	Knowledge of expert judges within the Court	
Measurement of law		Knowledge of professional judges within the Court